

MONARCH GROCERY CO

84 East Washington St.,
Bet. Penn. and Delaware.

Our competitors are greatly wrought up over our success and will tell you all sorts of misleading stories about our goods. Don't believe them, but come right in and investigate for yourselves.

We carry strictly first-class goods and guarantee every article to be as represented or money refunded.

We are going to give you some special sales. Here is our first one: On Tuesday we will sell you Boston Baked Beans better and cheaper than you can prepare them; only require to be heated; full three-pound cans we will sell for 10 cents per can; worth 20 cents. Not more than six cans to a customer. For Tuesday only.

Our Teas at 25c equal to those sold by others at 50c.

We carry a full line of imported goods, and all sold at bargain prices.

We regret not having had sufficient help to take care of our trade promptly. Saturday. This week we will have additional clerks.

MONARCH GROCERY COMPANY

St. Louis
Carpet House

Elegant Bordered Carpets

Made into Rugs all ready for Parlor, Library or Dining Room. Say about \$12 or \$15 for \$25 worth of Carpets.

Every day brings new attractions in

CARPETS AND DRAPERIES

30, 32, 34, 36 S. Illinois Street

Formerly W. H. Roll's.

Greater Than Ever

MONDAY WINDOW BARGAINS

A Few Reasons Why—For Instance

75 rolls of matting, worth \$10 a roll, for \$5 a roll; 40 yards each.

300 Coco Mats, regular price \$10, for \$5c. Take one home and send the carpet.

Cuspidor or Jar Mats, 12c each.

Full Line of Haviland Plates

Exceptionally fine patterns, from 22c to 50c—worth twice the money.

250 Dinner Plates, 10c each.

250 Saucer Plates, 10c each.

250 Soup Plates, 10c each.

250 Bread Plates, 10c each.

250 Dessert Plates, 10c each.

250 Coffee Plates, 10c each.

250 Tea Plates, 10c each.

250 Salad Plates, 10c each.

250 Fruit Plates, 10c each.

250 Flower Plates, 10c each.

250 Landscape Plates, 10c each.

250 Portrait Plates, 10c each.

250 Religious Plates, 10c each.

250 Historical Plates, 10c each.

250 Scientific Plates, 10c each.

250 Literary Plates, 10c each.

250 Artistic Plates, 10c each.

250 Modern Plates, 10c each.

250 Antique Plates, 10c each.

250 Oriental Plates, 10c each.

250 European Plates, 10c each.

250 American Plates, 10c each.

250 African Plates, 10c each.

250 Australian Plates, 10c each.

Up to Date Clothing at the WHEN

In all that pertains to the excellency of manufacture, including material, style, fit and durability, our clothing is the best for the least money.

Long Cut, Clay Worsted Sack Suits,

\$12.00

Prince Regent, 3-button Cutaway, Clay Worsted Frock Suits,

\$15, \$16.50, \$18.

An immense variety of fancy Cassimere Sack Suits at

\$10, \$12, \$15

Always below competition.

THE WHEN

Close Sale

One special lot 8-B. L. Suede Mousquetaire, with Foster hooks—Mode, Tan, Slate, Red at 77c.

One special lot 5 hooks—Glance, Black, Red, Tan, Brown, Green, 75c.

Children's Kid Mousquetaire and 5 hooks at 75c.

One lot English Walking Gloves, large horn buttons, 69c. These goods cost \$13.50 per doz. to make.

Mousquetaire Suede, Black, Mode, Tan, Navy, Brown, Terra Cotta, Copper, Slate, Pearl and White, \$1 per pair.

Elegant Mousquetaire and 4-button Suedes and Glance \$1.50, \$1.75, \$2, \$2.25. Long lengths \$2.25 to \$4.50 per pair.

SILK GLOVES and MITS—the best goods made.

Tucker's Glove Store

10 East Washington Street.

Always reliable for mail orders.

G. A. VAN PELT—17th Year.

(Any one claiming to be my successor is a FRAUD)

FINE FLOUR AND FOOD CEREALS.

121 North Delaware St. Tel. 300.

M. M. CUMMINGS.

Successor to Van Pelt, at 62 N. Delaware st., bought Mr. Van Pelt out in June, 1893, and with him for his stock and cash, and he is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

He is now in the hands of the law, and is now in the hands of the law.

\$15,000 FOR MADELINE

Miss Pollard Wins Her Suit Against Col. Breckinridge.

The Jury Leaves the Court Room at 3:07 P. M. and Returns with Its Verdict in Less Than Ninety Minutes.

ONE FAVORS DEFENDANT

But Is Soon Induced to Vote with the Other Eleven Jurors.

Then the Twelve Fix the Damages by Striking an Average of the Amounts They Had Written on Slips of Paper.

JERE WILSON'S ARGUMENT

The Ex-Hoosier Congressman Attacks Mr. Stoll, of Kentucky,

And Rumors of a Duel Fly Thick and Fast Until an Explanation Is Made—Judge Bradley's Charge.

WASHINGTON, April 14.—The Breckinridge case of morality has been continued. The jury in the famous breach-of-promise case this afternoon returned a verdict in favor of Miss Madeline Pollard and awarded her fifteen thousand dollars damages. Mr. Wilson concluded his argument about 2:30. Judge Bradley at once delivered his charge, the jury retired at 3:07 and returned in one hour and twenty-eight minutes.

At 4:45 o'clock when foreman Charles R. Cole, of the Circuit Court jury, entered the court room and rendered the verdict that ended the long and exciting Breckinridge-Pollard breach-of-promise trial. Sentations had fairly crowded into the last day of the trial; there had been some of the most dramatic scenes which ever stirred the legal mind.

As it was with the memories of celebrated cases; there was an approach to a personal collision between Ex-Judge Wilson and attorney Charles H. Stoll during the magnificent closing argument of the Washington lawyer, followed by talk of a duel, and Judge Bradley, in his charge to the jury, scored Col. Breckinridge as a villain.

The first ballot taken by the jury was upon the merits of the case, leaving out of consideration the question of the amount of damages. It resulted in eleven votes for a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, and one vote for the defendant. Thereupon the disingenuousness was indignantly rebuked by his colleagues and for some time was argued at. In speaking of this discussion afterwards, foreman Cole said that the jurymen displayed fully as great a familiarity with the points of the testimony as had been evidenced by the lawyers in their arguments.

George Gould, the head of the family, before the jurymen, told the jury that he knew the house of Sarah Gussie; he had been there before with some other girls, and he had seen them in the house.

Col. Breckinridge interposed a remonstrance. "Now, your Honor," he said, "I do not wish to see the jury misled by evidence of that kind. I merely said that I had been there before."

"That is all right," said Judge Bradley. "That is all right. If you stand, if you want to, said Mr. Wilson to the defendant."

"I was not asked to," replied Col. Breckinridge. "Well, you cannot testify now."

"I am merely arguing on the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Attorney Stoll—"There is no evidence that he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville."

Mr. Wilson—"Ah, but he met her on the street. She gave him her card, indicating that he knew her."

"Gentlemen, there were written on stone tablets in the case and the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Attorney Stoll—"There is no evidence that he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville."

Mr. Wilson—"Ah, but he met her on the street. She gave him her card, indicating that he knew her."

"Gentlemen, there were written on stone tablets in the case and the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Attorney Stoll—"There is no evidence that he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville."

Mr. Wilson—"Ah, but he met her on the street. She gave him her card, indicating that he knew her."

"Gentlemen, there were written on stone tablets in the case and the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Attorney Stoll—"There is no evidence that he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville."

Mr. Wilson—"Ah, but he met her on the street. She gave him her card, indicating that he knew her."

"Gentlemen, there were written on stone tablets in the case and the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Attorney Stoll—"There is no evidence that he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville."

Mr. Wilson—"Ah, but he met her on the street. She gave him her card, indicating that he knew her."

"Gentlemen, there were written on stone tablets in the case and the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Attorney Stoll—"There is no evidence that he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville."

Mr. Wilson—"Ah, but he met her on the street. She gave him her card, indicating that he knew her."

"Gentlemen, there were written on stone tablets in the case and the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Attorney Stoll—"There is no evidence that he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville."

Mr. Wilson—"Ah, but he met her on the street. She gave him her card, indicating that he knew her."

"Gentlemen, there were written on stone tablets in the case and the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Did you not?

Major Buttermore said, "I don't care to say anything," and walked, dejectedly, away toward his office. There the present Mrs. Breckinridge was awaiting events. There is no reason to believe the rumor that she is about to apply for a divorce. Major Buttermore characterizes the story as wholly without foundation. The case, he said, even in the evening, would be fought to a finish along every available and proper line.

That Miss Pollard will not be financially bettered by the result of her case is probable, because it is well understood, and has been said on trial, that Colonel Breckinridge has no property. He has lived up to his income for years, and although his wife has money, it does not seem likely that she will care to expend it to satisfy this judgment.

CLOSING ARGUMENT.

Mr. Wilson Provokes Mr. Stoll and the Latter Makes Threats.

When ex-Judge Jere Wilson resumed his argument this morning Madeline Pollard, the plaintiff, was not in the court, but the Breckinridges, father and son, were in their usual places, but attended by none of the lawyers, except Mr. Stoll, of Kentucky. Judge Wilson started his speech with great earnestness. After the specific charges against the character of his client, he disposed of the case, and the defendant, the defendant that Miss Pollard had been intimate with Rhodes was not worthy of belief, because Colonel Breckinridge had admitted that he knew of it long before the year of the first talk of marriage. Entering upon a discussion of the testimony of Colonel Breckinridge, he started with the proposition that the plaintiff and defendant took the stand upon equal terms. The same credibility attaches to the testimony of each where it stood alone, but there was one vital difference, namely, that the statements of Madeline Pollard were supported by other most credible witnesses, while Colonel Breckinridge must ask that his word stand uncorroborated. Miss Pollard was sustained by Mrs. Blackburn, by Mary Yancey, by Mr. Fanda, by Mrs. Lowell. Colonel Breckinridge was contradicted by Sarah Gussie and it would be shown that her testimony was infinitely more credible than his. Sarah had testified that he came to her, begging her not to tell what she knew of the case. In discussing the testimony of Mrs. Lowell, Mr. Wilson pointed out that Colonel Breckinridge had not at the outset denied that he wrote those letters, and that he had fenced adroitly against the questions until he secured an admission that they were destroyed and could not rise to confront him. Then he denied it absolutely.

Then, turning to another point of the testimony, he showed the credibility of the defendant, Judge Wilson incited an angry passage of words. "This was not the first time he had been seduced," he said, leveling that bony forefinger of his at the Col. Breckinridge. "I want to tell you that he knew the house of Sarah Gussie; he had been there before with some other girls, and he had seen them in the house."

Col. Breckinridge interposed a remonstrance. "Now, your Honor," he said, "I do not wish to see the jury misled by evidence of that kind. I merely said that I had been there before."

"That is all right," said Judge Bradley. "That is all right. If you stand, if you want to, said Mr. Wilson to the defendant."

"I was not asked to," replied Col. Breckinridge. "Well, you cannot testify now."

"I am merely arguing on the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Attorney Stoll—"There is no evidence that he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville."

Mr. Wilson—"Ah, but he met her on the street. She gave him her card, indicating that he knew her."

"Gentlemen, there were written on stone tablets in the case and the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Attorney Stoll—"There is no evidence that he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville."

Mr. Wilson—"Ah, but he met her on the street. She gave him her card, indicating that he knew her."

"Gentlemen, there were written on stone tablets in the case and the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Attorney Stoll—"There is no evidence that he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville."

Mr. Wilson—"Ah, but he met her on the street. She gave him her card, indicating that he knew her."

"Gentlemen, there were written on stone tablets in the case and the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Attorney Stoll—"There is no evidence that he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville."

Mr. Wilson—"Ah, but he met her on the street. She gave him her card, indicating that he knew her."

"Gentlemen, there were written on stone tablets in the case and the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Attorney Stoll—"There is no evidence that he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville."

Mr. Wilson—"Ah, but he met her on the street. She gave him her card, indicating that he knew her."

"Gentlemen, there were written on stone tablets in the case and the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Attorney Stoll—"There is no evidence that he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville."

Mr. Wilson—"Ah, but he met her on the street. She gave him her card, indicating that he knew her."

"Gentlemen, there were written on stone tablets in the case and the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Attorney Stoll—"There is no evidence that he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville."

Mr. Wilson—"Ah, but he met her on the street. She gave him her card, indicating that he knew her."

"Gentlemen, there were written on stone tablets in the case and the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Attorney Stoll—"There is no evidence that he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville."

Mr. Wilson—"Ah, but he met her on the street. She gave him her card, indicating that he knew her."

"Gentlemen, there were written on stone tablets in the case and the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

"But he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville," said Mr. Wilson.

Attorney Stoll—"There is no evidence that he knew Mrs. Rose in Louisville."

Mr. Wilson—"Ah, but he met her on the street. She gave him her card, indicating that he knew her."

"Gentlemen, there were written on stone tablets in the case and the probabilities of the case," declared Mr. Wilson. "Drawing the natural deductions from evidence. If I had asked you to go with me to Sarah Gussie before there would have been a half dozen lawyers on their feet."

Did you not?

Major Buttermore said, "I don't care to say anything," and walked, dejectedly, away toward his office. There the present Mrs. Breckinridge was awaiting events. There is no reason to believe the rumor that she is about to apply for a divorce. Major Buttermore characterizes the story as wholly without foundation. The case, he said, even in the evening, would be fought to a finish along every available and proper line.

That Miss Pollard will not be financially bettered by the result of her case is probable, because it is well understood, and has been said on trial, that Colonel Breckinridge has no property. He has lived up to his income for years, and although his wife has money, it does not seem likely that she will care to expend it to satisfy this judgment.

CLOSING ARGUMENT.

Mr. Wilson Provokes Mr. Stoll and the Latter Makes Threats.

When ex-Judge Jere Wilson resumed his argument this morning Madeline Pollard, the plaintiff, was not in the court, but the Breckinridges, father and son, were in their usual places, but attended by none of the lawyers, except Mr. Stoll, of Kentucky. Judge Wilson started his speech with great earnestness. After the specific charges against the character of his client, he disposed of the case, and the defendant, the defendant that Miss Pollard had been intimate with Rhodes was not worthy of belief, because Colonel Breckinridge had admitted that he knew of it long before the year of the first talk of marriage. Entering upon a discussion of the testimony of Colonel Breckinridge, he started with the proposition that the plaintiff and defendant took the stand upon equal terms. The same credibility attaches to the testimony of each where it stood alone, but there was one vital difference, namely, that the statements of Madeline Pollard were supported by other most credible witnesses, while Colonel Breckinridge must ask that his word stand uncorroborated. Miss Pollard was sustained by Mrs. Blackburn, by Mary Yancey, by Mr. F